File Copy



Application No. 08/781,752

Applicant(s)

Stice et al.

Interview Summary Examiner

Deborah Crouch

Group Art Unit 1632



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Deborah Crouch (3)	
(2) Robin Teskin (4)	
Date of Interview Mar 4, 1999	
Type: 🛛 Telephonic 🔲 Personal (copy is given to 🗀 applicant 🗀 applicant's representat	ive).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: X Yes No. If yes, brief description: Fax of proposed claims.	
Agreement was reached. was not reached.	
Claim(s) discussed: 80-102	
Identification of prior art discussed: None	
I told Ms. Teskin that the claims were allowable but that I had a set of proposed claims for her that clearer in setting forth the invention.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examine	
the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would rend is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	er the claims allowable
1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interv	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN R LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVI Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW	VEN ONE MONTH
Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a coreach of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response require Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview is also checked.	ments of the last
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.	DEBORAH CROUCH PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1632